

Poisson non-degeneracy of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$

Florian Zeiser

joint work with Ioan Mărcuț

Radboud University Nijmegen

Weinstein splitting theorem

Let (M^m, π) be a Poisson manifold.

Weinstein splitting theorem

Let (M^m, π) be a Poisson manifold.

Weinstein splitting theorem

For $\text{rank}(\pi(p)) = 2n$ there exists a local Poisson diffeomorphism

$$\phi : (M, \pi, p) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_{std}^{-1}, 0) \times (M', \pi_{M'}, p')$$

such that:

$$\pi_{M'}(p') = 0.$$

Example: linear Poisson = Lie algebra

If $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie algebra \rightsquigarrow $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ linear Poisson by:

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi)(X, Y) := \xi([X, Y]) \quad \text{where } \xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*, X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$$

Example: linear Poisson = Lie algebra

If $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie algebra $\rightsquigarrow (\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ linear Poisson by:

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi)(X, Y) := \xi([X, Y]) \quad \text{where } \xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*, X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$$

More explicitly: $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ a basis for \mathfrak{g} then:

$$[x_i, x_j] = c_{ij}^k x_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad \pi_{\mathfrak{g}} = c_{ij}^k x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

Example: linear Poisson = Lie algebra

If $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie algebra $\rightsquigarrow (\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ linear Poisson by:

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi)(X, Y) := \xi([X, Y]) \quad \text{where } \xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*, X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$$

More explicitly: $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ a basis for \mathfrak{g} then:

$$[x_i, x_j] = c_{ij}^k x_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad \pi_{\mathfrak{g}} = c_{ij}^k x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

Let $p \in M$ with $\pi_p = 0 \rightsquigarrow$ Lie algebra structure on T_p^*M :

$$[d_p f, d_p g] := d_p \pi(df, dg) \quad \text{for } f, g \in C^\infty(M)$$

Example: linear Poisson = Lie algebra

If $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie algebra $\rightsquigarrow (\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ linear Poisson by:

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi)(X, Y) := \xi([X, Y]) \quad \text{where } \xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*, X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$$

More explicitly: $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ a basis for \mathfrak{g} then:

$$[x_i, x_j] = c_{ij}^k x_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad \pi_{\mathfrak{g}} = c_{ij}^k x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

Let $p \in M$ with $\pi_p = 0 \rightsquigarrow$ Lie algebra structure on T_p^*M :

$$[d_p f, d_p g] := d_p \pi(df, dg) \quad \text{for } f, g \in C^\infty(M)$$

Definition

- ▶ $(\mathfrak{g}_p = T_p^*M, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is the **isotropy Lie algebra** of π at p
- ▶ $(\mathfrak{g}_p^* = T_p M, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_p})$ is the **linear approximation** of π at p

The linearization question: definition

Let $p \in M$ with $\pi(p) = 0$

Question

Is π linearizable at $p \in M$, i.e.

$$\exists \Phi : (M, \pi, p) \rightarrow (T_p M, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_p}, 0)$$

The linearization question: definition

Let $p \in M$ with $\pi(p) = 0$

Question

Is π linearizable at $p \in M$, i.e.

$$\exists \Phi : (M, \pi, p) \rightarrow (T_p M, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_p}, 0)$$

Definition

- ▶ A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called **Poisson non-degenerate**, if the answer is yes whenever $\mathfrak{g}_p \simeq \mathfrak{g}$
- ▶ otherwise \mathfrak{g} is called **Poisson degenerate**

Theorem (Weinstein):

Any semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is formally non-degenerate.

Theorem (Weinstein):

Any semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is formally non-degenerate.

Theorem (Conn):

Any semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is analytically non-degenerate.

The linearization question: smooth category

Let \mathfrak{g} be a **semisimple** Lie algebra \rightsquigarrow Iwasawa decomposition of \mathfrak{g} :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}.$$

The linearization question: smooth category

Let \mathfrak{g} be a **semisimple** Lie algebra \rightsquigarrow Iwasawa decomposition of \mathfrak{g} :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}.$$

For the linearization problem in the smooth category we have:

- ▶ if $\dim(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ ($\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}$), Conn: \mathfrak{g} is **Poisson non-degenerate** (Crainic & Fernandes: geometric proof)

The linearization question: smooth category

Let \mathfrak{g} be a **semisimple** Lie algebra \rightsquigarrow Iwasawa decomposition of \mathfrak{g} :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}.$$

For the linearization problem in the smooth category we have:

- ▶ if $\dim(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ ($\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}$), Conn: \mathfrak{g} is **Poisson non-degenerate** (Crainic & Fernandes: geometric proof)
- ▶ if $\dim(\mathfrak{a}) \geq 2$, Weinstein: \mathfrak{g} is **Poisson degenerate**

The linearization question: smooth category

Let \mathfrak{g} be a **semisimple** Lie algebra \rightsquigarrow Iwasawa decomposition of \mathfrak{g} :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}.$$

For the linearization problem in the smooth category we have:

- ▶ if $\dim(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ ($\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}$), Conn: \mathfrak{g} is **Poisson non-degenerate** (Crainic & Fernandes: geometric proof)
- ▶ if $\dim(\mathfrak{a}) \geq 2$, Weinstein: \mathfrak{g} is **Poisson degenerate**
- ▶ if $\dim(\mathfrak{a}) = 1$ and \mathfrak{k} is not semisimple, Monnier & Zung: \mathfrak{g} is **Poisson degenerate**

The linearization question: smooth category

Let \mathfrak{g} be a **semisimple** Lie algebra \rightsquigarrow Iwasawa decomposition of \mathfrak{g} :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}.$$

For the linearization problem in the smooth category we have:

- ▶ if $\dim(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ ($\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}$), Conn: \mathfrak{g} is **Poisson non-degenerate** (Crainic & Fernandes: geometric proof)
- ▶ if $\dim(\mathfrak{a}) \geq 2$, Weinstein: \mathfrak{g} is **Poisson degenerate**
- ▶ if $\dim(\mathfrak{a}) = 1$ and \mathfrak{k} is not semisimple, Monnier & Zung: \mathfrak{g} is **Poisson degenerate**

Conjecture (Dufour & Zung):

If $\dim(\mathfrak{a}) = 1$ and \mathfrak{k} is semisimple, then \mathfrak{g} is Poisson non-degenerate.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(3, 1) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ with Iwasawa decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(3, 1) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ with Iwasawa decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem (Marcut & -):

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$ is Poisson non-degenerate.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(3, 1) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ with Iwasawa decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem (Marcut & -):

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$ is Poisson non-degenerate.

Strategy of the proof (Conn):

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ Poisson cohomology} = 0 \\ + \text{ "nice" homotopy operators} \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\text{Nash - Moser}} \text{Poisson non-degenerate}$$

The **Poisson cohomology** $H^\bullet(M, \pi)$ is obtained from $(\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(M), d_\pi)$, where

$$d_\pi := [\pi, \cdot] : \mathfrak{X}^\bullet(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{\bullet+1}(M) \quad \text{with} \quad d_\pi^2 = 0.$$

The **Poisson cohomology** $H^\bullet(M, \pi)$ is obtained from $(\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(M), d_\pi)$, where

$$d_\pi := [\pi, \cdot] : \mathfrak{X}^\bullet(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{\bullet+1}(M) \quad \text{with} \quad d_\pi^2 = 0.$$

- ▶ $H^2(M, \pi)$: infinitesimal deformations of π modulo deformations by diffeomorphisms

Poisson cohomology: linear Poisson

For $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ we have an isomorphism of complexes

$$(\mathcal{X}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*), d_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \simeq (\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*), d_{EC}).$$

where d_{EC} is induced by the \mathfrak{g} -representation:

$$X \cdot f := \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, df) \quad \text{for } f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*).$$

Poisson cohomology: linear Poisson

For $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ we have an isomorphism of complexes

$$(\mathcal{X}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*), d_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \simeq (\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*), d_{EC}).$$

where d_{EC} is induced by the \mathfrak{g} -representation:

$$X \cdot f := \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, df) \quad \text{for } f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*).$$

The short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow C_0^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*) \xrightarrow{j_0^\infty} \mathbb{R}[[\mathfrak{g}]] \rightarrow 0,$$

induces a long exact sequence in cohomology:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{j_0^\infty} H_F^{\bullet-1}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_0^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \xrightarrow{j_0^\infty} H_F^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots$$

Poisson cohomology: linear Poisson

For $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}})$ we have an isomorphism of complexes

$$(\mathcal{X}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*), d_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}}}) \simeq (\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*), d_{EC}).$$

where d_{EC} is induced by the \mathfrak{g} -representation:

$$X \cdot f := \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, df) \quad \text{for } f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*).$$

The short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow C_0^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*) \xrightarrow{j_0^\infty} \mathbb{R}[[\mathfrak{g}]] \rightarrow 0,$$

induces a long exact sequence in cohomology:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{j_0^\infty} H_F^{\bullet-1}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_0^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \xrightarrow{j_0^\infty} H_F^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots$$

Proposition

If \mathfrak{g} is semisimple the l.e.s. becomes the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_0^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \xrightarrow{j_0^\infty} H_F^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Poisson cohomology: $(\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)})$

The idea underlying the computation:

Poisson cohomology: $(\mathfrak{so}(3,1)^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3,1)})$

The idea underlying the computation:

1. Calculate the formal Poisson cohomology at the singularity of the foliation, and reduce the problem to calculating the cohomology of the “flat Poisson complex”;

Poisson cohomology: $(\mathfrak{so}(3,1)^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3,1)})$

The idea underlying the computation:

1. Calculate the formal Poisson cohomology at the singularity of the foliation, and reduce the problem to calculating the cohomology of the “flat Poisson complex”;
2. Treat this subcomplex as it came from a regular Poisson structure;

The idea underlying the computation:

1. Calculate the formal Poisson cohomology at the singularity of the foliation, and reduce the problem to calculating the cohomology of the “flat Poisson complex”;
2. Treat this subcomplex as it came from a regular Poisson structure;
3. Apply spectral sequence argument to reduce the problem to calculating flat foliated cohomology;

Poisson cohomology: $(\mathfrak{so}(3,1))^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3,1)}$

The idea underlying the computation:

1. Calculate the formal Poisson cohomology at the singularity of the foliation, and reduce the problem to calculating the cohomology of the “flat Poisson complex”;
2. Treat this subcomplex as it came from a regular Poisson structure;
3. Apply spectral sequence argument to reduce the problem to calculating flat foliated cohomology;
4. For calculating flat foliated cohomology, try to build a contraction to a “cohomological skeleton”.

Poisson cohomology: $(\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3,1)})$

1. $H_0^2(\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3,1)}) = 0$

Poisson cohomology: $(\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)})$

1. $H_0^2(\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)}) = 0$
2. There are homotopy operators

$$\mathfrak{X}_0^1(\bar{B}) \xleftarrow{h^1} \mathfrak{X}_0^2(\bar{B}) \xleftarrow{h^2} \mathfrak{X}_0^3(\bar{B})$$

satisfying for every $W \in \mathfrak{X}_0^2(\bar{B})$:

$$W = [\pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)}, h^1(W)] + h^2([\pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)}, W])$$

Poisson cohomology: $(\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)})$

1. $H_0^2(\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)}) = 0$
2. There are homotopy operators

$$\mathfrak{X}_0^1(\bar{B}) \xleftarrow{h^1} \mathfrak{X}_0^2(\bar{B}) \xleftarrow{h^2} \mathfrak{X}_0^3(\bar{B})$$

satisfying for every $W \in \mathfrak{X}_0^2(\bar{B})$:

$$W = [\pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)}, h^1(W)] + h^2([\pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)}, W])$$

and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

$$\|h^i(X)\|_n \leq C \|X\|_{n+d}$$

for some constants $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < C$ and $i = 1, 2$.

Poisson cohomology: $(\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3,1)})$

1. $H_0^2(\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3,1)}) = 0$
2. There are homotopy operators

$$\mathfrak{X}_0^1(\bar{B}) \xleftarrow{h^1} \mathfrak{X}_0^2(\bar{B}) \xleftarrow{h^2} \mathfrak{X}_0^3(\bar{B})$$

satisfying for every $W \in \mathfrak{X}_0^2(\bar{B})$:

$$W = [\pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3,1)}, h^1(W)] + h^2([\pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3,1)}, W])$$

and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

$$\|h^i(X)\|_n \leq C \|X\|_{qn+d}$$

for some constants $2 < q, d \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < C$ and $i = 1, 2$.

Poisson cohomology: $(\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)})$

1. $H_0^2(\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)}) = 0$
2. There are homotopy operators

$$\mathfrak{X}_0^1(\bar{B}) \xleftarrow{h^1} \mathfrak{X}_0^2(\bar{B}) \xleftarrow{h^2} \mathfrak{X}_0^3(\bar{B})$$

satisfying for every $W \in \mathfrak{X}_0^2(\bar{B})$:

$$W = [\pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)}, h^1(W)] + h^2([\pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)}, W])$$

and for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

$$\|h^i(X)\|_{n, k} \leq C \|X\|_{n+d, k+qn+d}$$

for some constants $q, d \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < C$ and $i = 1, 2$.

Poisson cohomology: $(\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3,1)})$

1. $H_0^2(\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)^*, \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3,1)}) = 0$
2. There are homotopy operators

$$\mathfrak{X}_0^1(\overline{B}) \xleftarrow{h^1} \mathfrak{X}_0^2(\overline{B}) \xleftarrow{h^2} \mathfrak{X}_0^3(\overline{B})$$

satisfying for every $W \in \mathfrak{X}_0^2(\overline{B})$:

$$W = [\pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3,1)}, h^1(W)] + h^2([\pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3,1)}, W])$$

and for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

$$\|h^i(X)\|_{n,k} \leq C \|X\|_{n+d, k+qn+d}$$

for some constants $q, d \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < C$ and $i = 1, 2$.

For $X \in \mathfrak{X}_0^j(\overline{B})$ and $k, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

$$\|X\|_{n,k} := \sup_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq n} \sup_{x \in \overline{B}} \frac{1}{|x|^k} \left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} X(x)}{\partial x^\alpha} \right|$$

Let (M, π, ρ) be such that $\pi(\rho) = 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\rho \simeq \mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$:

1. Use formal linearization (Weinstein) $\rightsquigarrow \tilde{\pi} = \varphi^*(\pi)$ such that

$$\tilde{\pi}(\rho) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad j_\rho^\infty \tilde{\pi} = \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3,1)}$$

2. Apply Nash-Moser technique in the flat setting \rightsquigarrow local diffeomorphism ϕ such that

$$\phi^*(\tilde{\pi}) = \pi_{\mathfrak{so}(3,1)}$$

- ▶ Newton-method: find zero of $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$|f(x_0)| < \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_{l+1} = x_l - \frac{f(x_l)}{Df(x_l)}$$

Nash-Moser technique

- ▶ Newton-method: find zero of $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$|f(x_0)| < \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_{l+1} = x_l - \frac{f(x_l)}{Df(x_l)}$$

- ▶ Classic Nash-Moser: $P : C^{n+1} \rightarrow C^n$ differential operator, $f_0 \in C^{n+1}$ s.t. DP_{f_0} admits right inverse $R_{f_0} : C^n \rightarrow C^{n+1}$ for f close to f_0 :

Nash-Moser technique

- ▶ Newton-method: find zero of $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$|f(x_0)| < \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_{l+1} = x_l - \frac{f(x_l)}{Df(x_l)}$$

- ▶ Classic Nash-Moser: $P : C^{n+1} \rightarrow C^n$ differential operator, $f_0 \in C^{n+1}$ s.t. DP_f admits right inverse $R_f : C^n \rightarrow C^n$ for f close to f_0 :

$$\|P(f_0)\|_n < \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_{l+1} := f_l - S_l(R_{f_l}(P(f_l)))$$

where $S_t : C^n \rightarrow C^\infty$ smoothing operator

Nash-Moser technique

- ▶ Newton-method: find zero of $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$|f(x_0)| < \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_{l+1} = x_l - \frac{f(x_l)}{Df(x_l)}$$

- ▶ Classic Nash-Moser: $P : C^{n+1} \rightarrow C^n$ differential operator, $f_0 \in C^{n+1}$ s.t. DP_f admits right inverse $R_f : C^n \rightarrow C^n$ for f close to f_0 :

$$\|P(f_0)\|_n < \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_{l+1} := f_l - S_l(R_{f_l}(P(f_l)))$$

where $S_t : C^n \rightarrow C^\infty$ smoothing operator

Nash-Moser technique

- ▶ Newton-method: find zero of $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$|f(x_0)| < \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_{l+1} = x_l - \frac{f(x_l)}{Df(x_l)}$$

- ▶ Classic Nash-Moser: $P : C^{n+1} \rightarrow C^n$ differential operator, $f_0 \in C^{n+1}$ s.t. DP_f admits right inverse $R_f : C^n \rightarrow C^n$ for f close to f_0 :

$$\|P(f_0)\|_n < \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_{l+1} := f_l - S_l(R_{f_l}(P(f_l)))$$

where $S_t : C^n \rightarrow C^\infty$ smoothing operator

Nash-Moser in the proof:

$\pi_{g_p} - \pi \in \mathfrak{X}_0^2(M)$ and $\|\pi_{g_p} - \pi\|_n < \epsilon$ then

Nash-Moser technique

- ▶ Newton-method: find zero of $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$|f(x_0)| < \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_{l+1} = x_l - \frac{f(x_l)}{Df(x_l)}$$

- ▶ Classic Nash-Moser: $P : C^{n+1} \rightarrow C^n$ differential operator, $f_0 \in C^{n+1}$ s.t. DP_{f_0} admits right inverse $R_{f_0} : C^n \rightarrow C^{n+1}$ for f close to f_0 :

$$\|P(f_0)\|_n < \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_{l+1} := f_l - S_l(R_{f_l}(P(f_l)))$$

where $S_t : C^n \rightarrow C^\infty$ smoothing operator

Nash-Moser in the proof:

$\pi_{g_p} - \pi \in \mathfrak{X}_0^2(M)$ and $\|\pi_{g_p} - \pi\|_n < \epsilon$ then

$$X_l := S_l(h^1(\pi_{g_p} - \pi_l))$$

Nash-Moser technique

- ▶ Newton-method: find zero of $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$|f(x_0)| < \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_{l+1} = x_l - \frac{f(x_l)}{Df(x_l)}$$

- ▶ Classic Nash-Moser: $P : C^{n+1} \rightarrow C^n$ differential operator, $f_0 \in C^{n+1}$ s.t. DP_f admits right inverse $R_f : C^n \rightarrow C^n$ for f close to f_0 :

$$\|P(f_0)\|_n < \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_{l+1} := f_l - S_l(R_{f_l}(P(f_l)))$$

where $S_t : C^n \rightarrow C^\infty$ smoothing operator

Nash-Moser in the proof:

$\pi_{\mathfrak{g}_p} - \pi \in \mathfrak{X}_0^2(M)$ and $\|\pi_{\mathfrak{g}_p} - \pi\|_n < \epsilon$ then

$$X_l := S_l(h^1(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}_p} - \pi_l)) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{l+1} := \phi_{X_l}^*(\pi_l) \xrightarrow{l \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_p}$$

and $\phi := \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_{X_i}$ defines a local diffeo. with $\phi^*\pi = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_p}$

Thanks for your attention!